

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/801,327 02/18/97 MULLER В 1-20161/6/00

IM62/0727

JOANN VILLAMIZAR CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION P 0 BOX 2005 520 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN NY 10591-9005

EXA	AMINER
EIN	ISMANN, M
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	11 00

DATE MAILED:

07/27/99

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application ECEIVED

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

# JUL 3 0 1999

**ADVISORY ACTION** 

ANDREA DECECCHIS

☐ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	PATENT DEPARTMENT
a) is extended to run or continues to run	3 m 0 S from the date of the final rejection
b) appires three months from the date of the final rejection or a	as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no pire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
purposes of determining the period of extension and the con	under 37°CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the responding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR ortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 7.19.9 to place the application in condition for allowance:	C/ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification	will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
<ul> <li>a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(t presented.</li> </ul>	b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
<ul> <li>They raise new issues that would require further considered.</li> </ul>	deration and/or search. (See Note).
c. $\square$ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
<ul> <li>d. They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal.</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a co	rresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:	20161 A CONTICPA 3
uio non-allowable cialins.	ould be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
<ol> <li>Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be as follows:</li> </ol>	be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will
Claims allowed:	
Claims objected to:	=== FOR: Wig. 26, 1999
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection	N) Of an (4)
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been $\frac{h_{1} \cdot \dots \cdot h_{n} \cdot h_{n}}{2 \cdot h_{n} \cdot \dots \cdot h_{n}} \frac{h_{n} \cdot h_{n} \cdot h_{n}}{2 \cdot h_{n} \cdot \dots \cdot h_{n}} \frac{h_{n} \cdot h_{n} \cdot h_{n}}{2 \cdot h_{n} \cdot h_{n} \cdot h_{n}} \frac{h_{n} \cdot h_{n} \cdot h_{n}}{2 \cdot h_{n} \cdot h_{n} \cdot h_{n}} \frac{h_{n} \cdot h_{n}}{2 \cdot h_{n}} \frac{h_{n}}{2 \cdot h_{n}} h$	considered but does not overcome the rejection because
The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applica presented.  5.   The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applica presented.	int has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
	121
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐	programmed Allanjanes land much
Unsut	margaret einsmann
Other Unsut	PRIMARY EXAMINER
PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)	GROUP 1100